

Chapter 4 Limit of Functions

Th. 1. Let $\emptyset \neq D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ if and only if

(i) x_0 is a cluster pt ^{w.r.t. D} (also called non-isolated pt or accumulation pt), in notation $x_0 \in D^c$

or $x_0 \in D^a$: $\forall \delta > 0, \exists x \in D \setminus \{x_0\}$ s.t. $|x - x_0| < \delta$, that is

$$\forall \delta > 0 \quad \forall f(x_0) \cap (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \delta > 0$$

(ii) \exists a seq (x_n) in $D \setminus \{x_0\}$ s.t. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$

(iii) $\text{dist}(x_0, D \setminus \{x_0\}) = 0$ where

$$\text{dist}(x_0, D \setminus \{x_0\}) = \inf \{ |x_0 - x| : x \in D \setminus \{x_0\} \}$$

From now on (unless stated otherwise), let

$$f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } x_0 \in D^c, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Say that $f(x) \rightarrow L$ as $x \rightarrow x_0$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0$

$$\exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t.}$$

$$|f(x) - L| < \epsilon \text{ whenever } x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

Th. 2 (Uniqueness) Suppose also that

$$f(x) \rightarrow L' \text{ (in addition to } f(x) \rightarrow L \text{) as } x \rightarrow x_0$$

Then $L = L'$ (crucial that $x_0 \in D^c$)

(so legitimate notation: $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ Also, if

$f = f$ on $V_\delta(x_0)$ for some $\delta > 0$ then $f(x) \rightarrow L$ as $x \rightarrow x_0$.)

Th3 (Local-Boundedness Th). Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$
 Then $\exists M > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that
 (*) $|f(x)| < M \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$

Proof. Let $\epsilon = 1$. Then $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$|f(x) - L| < 1 \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

Let $M = |L| + 1$. Then (*) holds (why?).

Note. Readjust M if necessary one can replace (*) by

$$(**) \quad |f(x)| < M \quad \forall x \in D \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

(separately consider the case when $x_0 \in D$, and otherwise).

Th4 (Order-Preserving). Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$x_0 \in D$. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha < \beta$ s.t.

$$\alpha < \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L < \beta$$

Then $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$(*) \quad \alpha < f(x) < \beta \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

Proof. Pick any $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\epsilon \leq \min\{\beta - \alpha, \alpha - \alpha\}$.

Then, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - \alpha| < \epsilon \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta^c(x_0)$$

Noting $\alpha - \epsilon \geq \alpha - (\alpha - \alpha) = \alpha$ and $\alpha + \epsilon \leq \alpha + (\beta - \alpha) = \beta$

and

$$\alpha - \epsilon < f(x) < \alpha + \epsilon \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta^c(x_0)$$

we see that (H) holds.

Remark. Please shift and prove the

corresponding results for $\alpha = -\infty$ or $\beta = +\infty$

Cor. Suppose $f(x) \geq \beta \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta^c(x_0)$

with some $\delta > 0$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \geq \beta$

(provided that the limit exists (in \mathbb{R})).

Proof (contrapositively) Suppose not:

$$\alpha = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) < \beta. \text{ Then } \dots$$

(remember $x_0 \in D^c$).

Th 5 (Local-Boundedness with non-zero limits)

Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L \neq 0$. Then $\exists \delta > 0$ st.

(*) $\frac{1}{2|L|} < |f(x)| < \frac{3}{2|L|} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathcal{V}(x_0)$

Proof. Let $\epsilon := \frac{1}{2|L|}$ (positive!). Then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$|f(x) - L| < \frac{1}{2|L|} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathcal{V}(x_0)$

Since $|f(x) - L| \leq |f(x) - L|$ it follows that

$|f(x) - L|, |L - 1/f(x)| < \frac{1}{2|L|} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathcal{V}(x_0)$

i.e. (*) holds.

Th 6 (Order-Preserving & Squeeze Principle).
Let $f_n: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x_0 \in D$. Then

- (i) Suppose $\exists \delta > 0$ st. $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \leq f_3(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathcal{V}(x_0)$.
Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_1(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_2(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_3(x)$ provided that both exist.
- (ii) Suppose $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \leq f_3(x) \quad \forall x \in D$ and that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_1(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_3(x) = L$ (say) in \mathbb{R} .
Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_2(x)$ also exists and equals L .

Warning (ii) does not follow from (i)

Computation Rules. Let $f, f_1, f_2: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

and $x_0 \in D^c$

$$(i) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} |f(x)| = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} |f(x)|$$

if $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ exists (in \mathbb{R})

$$(ii) \quad k \cdot \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (kf(x)) \quad A, k \in \mathbb{R}$$

(under the same conditions as (i))

(iii) Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_n(x) = L_n$ ($n=1, 2$). Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f_1(x) \pm f_2(x)) = L_1 \pm L_2$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f_1(x) \cdot f_2(x)) = L_1 \cdot L_2$$

(in particular $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_1(x)^2 = L_1^2$)

and $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} = \frac{L_1}{L_2}$ provided that $L_2 \neq 0$ and $f_2(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in D$

(iv) Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ and $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in D$

Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \sqrt{f(x)} = \sqrt{L}$ (and $L \geq 0$)

All those follow from the corresponding results of ch. 3 (sequential limits) together with

the following sequential criterion for limits:

~~Th~~ (Sequential criterion). Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in D^c$ and $L \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ iff

$$(i) \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$$

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L \text{ for all seq } (x_n) \text{ in } D \setminus \{x_0\} \text{ convergent to } x_0.$$

~~Th~~* Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 \in D^c$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ exists in \mathbb{R} iff

$$(i) \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}$$

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R} \text{ whenever } (x_n) \text{ is a seq in } D \setminus \{x_0\} \text{ convergent to } x_0.$$

But, we would like to prove the completion rule results direct from definition rather than by results of the preceding chapter.

You have done the following question:

Example if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 2$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3^n - 3}{3^{2n} - 3} = 5$$

Hence, with $f(x) = \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 3}$, $D = \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 = 2$

together with Th 6 (on sequential criterion)

we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 3} = 5$ (with $x_0 = 2$)

Another method is to apply the quotient rule for function limits. And get another

important way is via definition! That is, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, to find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$A \ x \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2\}) \cap V_\delta(2) \implies \left| \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 3} - 5 \right| < \epsilon$$

Note that

$$\left| \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 3} - 5 \right| = \frac{|x^3 - 5x^2 + 12x - 12|}{|x^2 - 3|} = \frac{|x - 2| |x^2 - 3x - 6|}{|x^2 - 3|}$$

and so wish to find $m, M > 0$ such that

$$(\#) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |x^2 - 3x - 6| \leq M \\ \forall x \in V_\delta(2) \setminus \{2\} \subseteq (2 - \delta, 2 + \delta) \\ |x^2 - 3| \geq m \\ \forall x \in V_\delta(2) \setminus \{2\} \subseteq (2 - \delta, 2 + \delta) \end{array} \right.$$

then

$x \in (2 - \delta, 2 + \delta)$ means $2 - \delta < x < 2 + \delta$ and so $4 - 4\delta < x^2 < 4 + 4\delta + \delta^2 = (2 + \delta)^2 < x^2$ which implies $1 - 4\delta < x^2 - 3$

Therefore m in (H) can be taken as $1-4\delta$ provided that $1-4\delta$ is positive

(e.g. if $\delta \leq \frac{1}{8}$ then take $m = \frac{1}{2}$)

with that kind of δ , M in (H) can be

found accordingly: ($0 < x < 2+\delta < 3$)

$$|x^2 - 3x - 6| \leq |x|^2 + 3|x| + 6 < (2+\delta)^2 + 3(2+\delta) + 6$$

$$\leq 3^2 + 3 \times 3 + 6 = 24$$

Therefore, if $x \in V_\delta(2)$ with $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]$, one has

$$48|x-2| < 48\delta \leq \epsilon \quad \left| \frac{x^3-3}{x^2-2} - 5 \right| \leq \frac{24|x-2|}{x^2-2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

provided that my $\delta > 0$ satisfies the

additional requirement that $\delta \leq \frac{\epsilon}{48}$

(in addition to $\delta \leq \frac{1}{8}$). Therefore

the formal proof can be as follows:

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Take $\delta = \min\{\frac{1}{8}, \frac{\epsilon}{48}\}$ (so δ is

a positive number and $\delta \leq \frac{1}{8}$, $\delta \leq \frac{\epsilon}{48}$)

Therefore $|x-2| < \delta$. Then

$$\left| \frac{x^3-3}{x^2-2} - 5 \right| = \frac{|x^2-3|}{|x-2||x^2-3x-6|} \leq \frac{1}{|x-2| \cdot 24} < 48\delta \leq \epsilon$$

because $|x-2| < \delta \leq \frac{1}{8}$ so $\frac{1}{15} < x < 2 + \frac{1}{8} < 3$

$$\text{and } \frac{1}{2} < x^2-3 \text{ and } |x^2-3x-6| < 3^2+9+6 = 24$$

($\frac{1}{2}$ and 24 are not the "best" but they serve the job!)